

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/055,245	Applicant(s) MUTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Yelena G. Gakh.

(2) Shelley Eberle.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
pending

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
the Applicant agreed with the proposed Examiner's amendment, which clarifies the subject matter of the pending claims and places the application into condition for allowance


Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)